



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/089,903

04/03/2002

Franck Abelard

PF990066

6844

7590

09/11/2007

Joseph S Tripoli
Thomson Multimedia Licensing
Patent Operations
CN 5312
Princeton, NJ 08543-0028

EXAMINER

DANG, HUNG Q

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2621

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/11/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/089,903

Applicant(s)

ABELARD ET AL.

Examiner

Hung Q. Dang

Art Unit

2621

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 August 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 03 April 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- 1) ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2) ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - 3) ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/03/2002</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/15/2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naimpally (US Patent 5,619,337), Yoneda et al. (EP 0 841 819), and Sato (US Patent 6,009,078).

Claim 1 recites a method for recording data in a digital video processing device connectable to a recording medium, comprising the steps of: (1) receiving a stream of data packets, each data packet being associated with one of N packet identifiers; (2) providing N (N>1) buffers for receiving respectively packets corresponding to one of N packet identifiers; (3) monitoring a total sum quantity of data stored in the plurality of N buffers; and (4) triggering a writing process of the data contained in the plurality of

buffers to the recording medium when said total sum quantity of data reaches a predetermined level.

Claim 2 recites the predetermined level corresponds to a size of a data recording unit on the recording medium, minus a quantity of space reserved to service information.

Claim 3 recites the writing step comprising the writing of the data in the different buffers to a same recording unit.

Naimpally teaches a recording system, hence a method, to record one or more programs from a multi-program transport stream, comprising the steps of: (1) receiving a stream of data packets, each data packet being associated to one of N packet identifier (PID) (column 3, lines 9-15; column 7, lines 26-39; column 8, lines 5-32); (2) providing N ($N > 1$) buffers, for receiving respectively packets corresponding to one of N packet identifiers ("components 122, 124, 126", "buffer 130" in Fig. 1A; column 3, line 62 – column 4, line 6);

Naimpally does not teach monitoring the total sum quantity of data stored in the plurality of buffers. Also, Naimpally does not teach triggering a writing process of the data when the said total sum quantity of data reaches a predetermined level. But Naimpally does teach a modulator to modulate the multiplexed stream for transmission (Fig. 1A).

Yoneda et al. teach using the same recording medium (column 47, lines 8-11) and monitoring the total quantity of data stored in a buffer and triggering a writing process of data stored in the buffer to recording medium when the total quantity data

Art Unit: 2621

reaches a predetermined level, specifically, when the buffer is full (column 47, lines 7-15). Yoneda et al. also teach the predetermined level corresponds to the size of a data recording unit on the recording medium, minus the quantity of space reserved to service information (column 47, lines 33-54).

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to substitute the modulator (FEC, Modulator 120 in Fig. 1A) taught in the recording system by Naimpally with the buffering means taught by Yoneda et al. to make a multi-program recording device since the buffering means taught Yoneda et al. uses an internal buffer of small capacity, so that the quantities of coded audio and video information temporarily stored in these buffers are reduced (column 49, lines 17-23).

However, the proposed combination of Naimpally and Yoneda et al. does not disclose monitoring a total sum quantity of data stored in the plurality of N buffers.

Sato discloses monitoring a total sum quantity of data stored in the plurality of N buffers (column 5, lines 18-31).

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to incorporate the monitoring of a total sum quantity of data stored in the plurality of N buffers disclosed by Sato into the recording method disclosed by Naimpally and Yoneda et al. because, according to Sato, the memory used for monitoring can be reduced (column 5, lines 34-36).

Claims 5 and 6 recite a digital video processing device comprising a demultiplexer and a recording medium, and further comprising: (1) more than one buffers; (2) means for controlling the writing of de-multiplexed data packets, each data

Art Unit: 2621

packet being associated with one of N packet identifiers, into said buffers, where each buffer receives data packets corresponding to a specific packet identifier, and for controlling the quantity of data packets in each buffer in order to trigger the writing of the buffer contents to the recording medium when the sum of data packets in all buffers reaches a predetermined level, said predetermined level being dependent on at least one characteristic of the recording medium or which corresponds to a size of a data recording unit on the recording medium.

Naimpally teaches a digital video processing device comprising a de-multiplexer and a recording medium (Tuner 210, Transport Demux 218 in Fig. 2; column 4, lines 33-35), characterized in that it further comprises: (1) more than one buffers ("components 122, 124, 126", "buffer 130" in Fig. 1A; column 3, line 62 – column 4, line 6); (2-i) a means for controlling the writing of de-multiplexed data packets, each data packet being associated to one of N packet identifiers, into said buffers, where each buffer receives data packets corresponding to a specific packet identifier ("components 122, 124, 126", "buffer 130" in Fig. 1A; column 3, line 62 – column 4, line 6);

Naimpally does not teach (2-ii) controlling the quantity of data packets in each buffer in order to trigger the writing of the buffer contents to the recording medium when the sum of data packets in all buffers reaches a predetermined level, which corresponds to the size of a data recording unit on the recording medium.

Yoneda et al. teach a means for (2-ii) controlling the quantity of data packets in each buffer in order to trigger the writing of the buffer contents to the recording medium when the sum of data packets in all buffers reaches a predetermined level (column 47,

Art Unit: 2621

lines 33-54; and "audio buffering means", "video buffering means", "video/audio synchronization means", and "file management means" in Fig. 12), or which corresponds to the size of a data recording unit on the recording medium (column 47, lines 15-54).

However, the proposed combination of Naimpally and Yoneda et al. does not disclose monitoring a total sum quantity of data stored in the plurality of N buffers.

Sato discloses monitoring a total sum quantity of data stored in the plurality of N buffers (column 5, lines 18-31).

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to incorporate the monitoring of a total sum quantity of data stored in the plurality of N buffers disclosed by Sato into the recording method disclosed by Naimpally and Yoneda et al. because, according to Sato, the memory used for monitoring can be reduced (column 5, lines 34-36).

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naimpally, Yoneda et al., and Sato (US Patent 6,009,078) as applied to claims 1-3, and 5-6 above, and further in view of Deo et al. (US Patent 6,304,914).

Claim 4 recites the step of writing a header into said recording unit, said header indicating for the data from each buffer: the corresponding packet identifier, the size, and location of the data in the recording unit.

See the teachings of Naimpally and Yoneda et al. above.

Naimpally and Yoneda et al. do not teach the step of writing a header into said recording unit, said header indicating for the data from each buffer: the corresponding packet identifier, the size, and location of the data in the recording unit.

Deo et al. teach the concept of storing more than one data packets destined for the same address by appending them together to produce the compressed data packet which includes a master header (column 1, lines 52-67). The master header comprises the identifiers, the size and the location of individual data packets in the produced packets (column 9, lines 39-48).

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to incorporate the concept of combining small data packets into larger data packets with a header storing the identifiers, the size and the location of individual data packets in the produced packets taught by Deo et al. into the digital video recording system taught by Naimpally and Yoneda et al. to produce a compact packet since, if used in wireless communication, would save a lot of bandwidth (column 1, lines 29-32).

Therefore the invention as a whole would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, absent unexpected results to the contrary.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung Q. Dang whose telephone number is 571-270-1116. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th:7:30-6:00.

Art Unit: 2621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hung Dang
Patent Examiner



THAI Q. TRAN
SUPERVISOR, PATENT EXAMINER
EBC CENTER 2600